

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/060,458	01/30/2002	Fumiaki Arai	58122-Z CCD	6616
7590 12/21/2005			EXAMINER	
Christopher C. Dunham			PARKER, FREDERICK JOHN	
c/o Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Ave. of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10036			1762	
			DATE MAILED: 12/21/2009	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del></del>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/060,458	ARAI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		LUU MATTHEW	3663			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address			
Period fo						
WHI( - Exte after - if NO - Failt Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION  36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONON, cause the application to become AE	CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 N	lovember 2005.				
2a)□	_					
3)	,—					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims	•	·			
_	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-17 and 34-48</u> is/are pending in the application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>8-17 and 34-48</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or election require	ement.			
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	} 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	_ ·	received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachmer	nt(s)					
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 8-17 and 34-41, drawn to a product (a database comprising time marked vehicle operation data), classified in class 705, subclass 4.
  - II. Claims 42-48, drawn to a process of using a product (a rating of at least one driver utilizing a database), classified in class 701, subclass 29.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product such as NASCAR points sporting event.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/060,458 Page 3

Art Unit: 3663

4. Upon election of invention I, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect on of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims are generic):

- A. Data for a plurality of vehicles.
- B. Data for a plurality of drivers.
- C. Data for a plurality of trips.
- D. Data pertaining to an agreement.
- 5. Upon election of species A, B, C or D, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect on of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims are generic):
  - a. The database used for teaching.
  - b. The database used for monitoring behavior.
  - c. The database used for rating at least one driver.
  - d. The database used for predicting likely future events.
  - e. The database is used to rate one driver for employment.
  - f. The database is used to rate one driver for life insurance.
  - g. The database is used to rate one driver for health insurance.
  - h. The database is used to rate one driver for lending.

Application/Control Number: 10/060,458

Art Unit: 3663

6. Upon election of invention II, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect on of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims are generic):

- (1) The rating used for teaching.
- (2) The rating used for monitoring behavior.
- (3) The rating used for predicting the occurrence of a future.

Page 4

- (4) The rating used for employment.
- (5) The rating used for insurance.
- (6) The rating used for lending.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement (e.g., I, A, a, and (1)), and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Application/Control Number: 10/060,458

Art Unit: 3663

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LUU MATTHEW whose telephone number is (571) 272-7663. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexible Schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JACK KEITH can be reached on (571) 272-7663. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Luu

MATTHEW LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Males of

Page 5